

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/974,019	10/10/2001	Craig Heyward	10370/32421	7307
35856 75	590 11/03/2004		EXAMINER	
LAVA GROUP LAW BY SMITH & FROHWEIN, LLC			PHAN, JOSEPH T	
P.O. BOX 8814 ATLANTA, G	· -	*	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2645	
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

gr

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/974,019	HEYWARD ET AL.				
navious rious	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph T Phan	2645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 07 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10 and 11</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:		FAN TSANG VISORY PATENT EXAMINER HNOLOGY CENTER 2600				
		My				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Advi:	sory Action	Part of Paper No. 10202004				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the prior art of record, Flick, reads on the claims AS recited, applicant argues that Flick does not teach the limitation of 'wherein the programmable criterions and associated report intervals are alterable via remote commands. Examiner respectfully disagrees as Flick does teach the claim limitations AS recited. There are several embodiments that can read on 'programmable criterions and/or report intervals which can be altered via remote commands', one embodiment is in col.2 lines 34-54 of Flick wherein the 'vehicle tracking device reports the vehicle location at predetermined time intervals, this predetermined time interval is altered remotely since it is 'predetermined'(col.10 lines 45-60). the phrase 'remote commands' can be read as broadly as commands provided at a device right next to the memory storing the programmable criterion or interval, or more specifically, providing remote commands at a remote monitoring station(col.4 lines 14-19), these predetermined time intervals can be altered at the initial install or later when the subscriber initiates a change(col.21 lines 3-22).

Therefore, the prior art of record, Flick reads on the claimed invention as recited.